г		TIONIL TIOGIAIII		_
		w of Community Housing	_	_
Particinating	Jurisdiction (PJ):	ganization (CHDO) Prod	<u>ceaure</u>	<u>es</u>
I ai ucipaniis	Jui isuicuon (1 0).			
Staff Consult	ed:			
	side Amount(s):			
Name(s) of			Date	
Reviewer(s)				
(statu a find the re under addre result Instructions: oversight of C CHDO funds. in answering t this effect in that an appropriate include current Questions:	te, regulation, NOFA, ling of noncompliance equirement) do not addrest anding the participal essed, could result in define a "concern" being. This Exhibit is to de CHDO performance; The results of individue questions in this the "Describe Basis to esample based upon atly and previously for the second p	, or grant agreement). If the e. All other questions (questions requirements, but are int's program more fully and deficient performance. Negaraised, but not a "finding." designed to evaluate the P and administration of its vidual CHDO reviews from Exhibit. If an area or que for Conclusion" section. In the number of CHDOs of funded, as well as some united.	e requirestions the included do to include the control of the Hocertified	identify issues that, if not properly onclusions to these questions may allowed designation process; its D set-aside funds and other hibit 7-11 can be used to assist s not examined, make a note to allowed to the PJ. The sample should
	AMPLE (Add more of the OF CHDO	DATE INITIAL DESIGNATEI		AMOUNT OF HOME \$ PROVIDED (Latest)
2.	N			
nonprofit o Exhibit 7- Organizati	organizations for de 11, "Guide for Revi	similar document to dete signation as CHDOs, e.g. ew of Community Housin ications and Activities?	., Secti	ons A-D of Yes No

7-1 09/2005

3.			
	Based on the CHDOs reviewed, do they meet the qualifications for CHDO		
	designation?	Yes	No
	[24 CFR 92.2]		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
4.			
	Does the PJ have a procedure for reexamining CHDO eligibility annually, or at		
	least at the time of subsequent application by the CHDO for additional funds?	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
_			
5.	a. Does the PJ have a procedure for monitoring CHDO performance?		
	a. Does the F3 have a procedure for monitoring CHDO performance?		Ш
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
	b. If the answer to "a" above is "yes," describe the procedure.		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

09/2005 7-2

6.			
	Does the PJ review the performance of each CHDO annually?		
	[24 CFR 92.504(a)]	Yes	No
	Describe Desir for Construitors		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
_			
7.			
	Does the PJ take actions to address CHDO performance issues (e.g., technical	╷Ш	
	assistance, training, reprogramming of funds)?	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
8.			
0.	Does the PJ have adequate procedures in place to ensure that all projects funded		П
	with CHDO set-aside funds are owned, developed, and sponsored" pursuant to		Ш
	24 CFR 92.300(a)?	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
	Describe basis for Coliciusion:		
9.			
	If the PJ provides CHDO operating funds, does it execute written agreements		
	for the funds?	Yes	No
	[24 CFR 92.300(e) and 24 CFR 92.504(b)]		
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

7-3 09/2005

10.			
	Do written agreements for CHDO operating funds specify the: [24 CFR 92.300(e)]		
	a. expected uses for the funds?	\Box	\Box
		Yes	No
	b. expectation that the CHDO will receive CHDO set-aside funds for development within 24 months of the date of the agreement?	Yes	No
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
11.			
	If the PJ provides seed money, predevelopment or TA loans to CHDOs, do they total not more than 10% of the amount of the PJ's CHDO set-aside? [24 CFR 92.300(c)]	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		
12.			
	If the PJ provides operating funds, capacity building or TA pass-through		
	funds, does the PJ have procedures to ensure that no CHDO receives more than \$50,000 or 50% of its annual budget pursuant to 24 CFR 92.300(f)?	No	N/A
	Describe Basis for Conclusion:		

09/2005 7-4